

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/761,922	01/17/2001	Dan Dean Christensen	06005/36804 5557		
7590 04/20/2005		EXAMINER			
Roger A. Heppermann			DELGADO, MICHAEL A		
Marshal, O' Too 6300 Sears Tow	ole, Gerstein, Murray & Bo	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
233 South Wacker Drive			2144		
Chicago, IL 60606-6402			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/761,922		CHRISTENSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael S. A. Delgado	2144	

Defens the Filing of an Annual Drief						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael S. A. Delgado	2144				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) In the period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing</u> date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a					
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	as set forth in (b) ay reduce any			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENIANCE.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the data of filing a brid	of will not be entered	haaayaa			
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		timely filed amounds	ant concoling			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	mowable ii subimited iii a separate	e, timely med amendin	ient canceling			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed to the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-58.		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>reso</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
3. Other:						
		ILLIAM A. CUCHLINS ERVISORY PATENT E				

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3500

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The additional limitations in: claim 1 "transmitting from the first device" and " transmitting from the second device" and claim 4 "make the first unique network identification tag" and "make the second unique network identification tag" requires additional search.